arXiv:1611.03813v1 [physics.optics] 11 Nov 2016

Controlled opacity in a class of nonlinear dielectric media

E. Bittencourt,[1,*] G. H. S. Camargo,[1,†] V. A. De Lorenci,[1,‡] and R. Klippert[2,§]

[1] *Instituto de Física e Química, Universidade Federal de Itajubá, Itajubá, Minas Gerais 37500-903, Brazil*

[2] *Instituto de Matemática e Computação, Universidade Federal de Itajubá, Itajubá, Minas Gerais 37500-903, Brazil*

(Dated: November 14, 2016)

Abstract

Motivated by new technologies on designing and tailoring metamaterials, we seek for properties of certain classes of nonlinear optical materials that allow room for a reversibly controlled opacity-to-transparency phase transition through the application of external electromagnetic fields. We examine some mathematically simple models for the dielectric parameters of the medium, and compute the relevant geometric quantities that describe the speed and polarization of light rays.

PACS numbers: 42.15.-i, 42.65.-k, 77.22.-d, 77.80.Fm

*Electronic address: bittencourt@unifei.edu.br

†Electronic address: guilhermehenrique@unifei.edu.br

‡Electronic address: delorenci@unifei.edu.br

§Electronic address: klippert@unifei.edu.br

I. INTRODUCTION

Driven by advances in designing and tailoring new (meta)materials [1], there has been an increasing interest in studying new optical phenomena in recent years. Just to remind some remarkable achievements of such investigations we mention the interesting effect of electromagnetic induced transparency (EIT) [2], optical systems presenting negative index of refraction [3, 4], cloaking devices [1], one-way propagation and optical isolators [5–7], and light trapping devices [8, 9], between many others. Regarding EIT, an experimental demonstration of the effect was reported in 1991, where an optically thick medium was shown to become transparent by means of a destructive interference caused by the application of an external electromagnetic field [10]. More recently an EIT-like phenomenon was observed in the emission spectrum of a system of two resonant meta-atoms in a cavity as a response to an incident microwave [11]. There are several theoretical models examining EIT manifestations. For instance, it was shown [12] that a plasmonic molecule exhibits an electromagnetic behavior that resembles the electromagnetically induced transparency in atomic systems. Furthermore, it was shown that transparency can be actively controlled by dynamically reconfiguring a metamaterial [13]. We should also mention the class of material media, commonly reported as *smart glasses*, whose optical properties can continuously run from opacity to transparency and back according with an externally controllable field imposed to the medium [14–17].

The aim of this work is to provide, for a given class of dielectric media and restricted to the limit of applicability of geometrical optics, a theoretical description of the electromagnetically tunable optical opacity-to-transparency phase transition, while still relying upon the basic phenomenological properties of the medium.

This paper is summarized as follows. The next section presents the derivation of the Fresnel equation for light propagation in a non dispersive nonlinear medium by using the Hadamard method for field discontinuities. From the requirement of the existence of non-trivial solutions for the Fresnel equation, Sec. III deals with the geometric properties (speed and polarization) of the light rays, and establishes sufficient conditions for the occurrence of opacity in a non-magnetic medium. Furthermore, in this section we present and briefly discuss the effective metric that corresponds to the class of optical systems here considered. In Sec. IV, simple models for the permittivity of the medium are investigated, and the way

to control the opacity by means of an externally applied electric field is given. A very similar effect can also be obtained in cases for which the medium reacts nonlinearly to the presence of an external magnetic field, as it is shown in Sec. V. Conclusions and final remarks are given in Sec. VI. For the sake of completeness, the appendix determines the possible Jordan canonical forms for the Fresnel matrix.

II. THE LIGHT PROPAGATION IN NONLINEAR OPTICS

Let us consider Maxwell's equations inside a material medium in Euclidean space

$$\partial_i D_i \;=\; \rho, \tag{1}$$

$$\eta_{ijl}\partial_j E_l \;=\; -\partial_t B_i, \tag{2}$$

$$\partial_i B_i \;=\; 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\eta_{ijl}\partial_j H_l \;=\; J_i + \partial_t D_i. \tag{4}$$

We are assuming the convention that latin indices $i, j, l, ...$ run from 1 to 3 and repeated indices are summed upon. We denote $\partial_i = \partial/\partial x^i$, $\partial_t = \partial/\partial t$ and η_{ijl} is the completely skew-symmetric Levi-Civita symbol with $\eta_{123} = 1$. Also, E_i and B_i stand for the electromagnetic field strengths, while D_i and H_i describe the corresponding field excitations (despite of the generally accepted convention of term H_i as the 'magnetic field', and B_i as the 'magnetic induction'). Since nonlinear media are taken into account, the linear constitutive relations should be replaced by nonlinear ones,

$$D_i = \epsilon_0 E_i + P_i, \quad \longrightarrow \quad D_i = \epsilon_{ij} E_j, \tag{5}$$

$$H_i = \frac{1}{\mu_0} B_i - M_i, \quad \longrightarrow \quad H_i = \mu_{ij}^{-1} B_j, \tag{6}$$

where P_i is the polarization, M_i is the magnetization, and ϵ_0 and μ_0 are the vacuum permittivity and permeability, respectively. It is generally assumed that both the phenomenological coefficients of permittivity ϵ_{ij} and inverse permeability μ_{ij}^{-1} may be dependent upon the field strengths E_i and B_i.

In the limit of geometric optics, E_i and B_i, as well as the charge distribution ρ and its flow J_i, are all assumed to be continuous through the wave-front $\Sigma_t(x_i) = \text{const.}$, for any

given instant of time t, but with a possibly non-zero finite "step" in their derivatives, as determined by the Hadamard method Ref. [18] (see Ref. [19] for a relativistic formulation of its application in geometrical optics)

$$[\partial_t E_i]_{\Sigma_t} = -\omega\, e_i, \quad [\partial_t B_i]_{\Sigma_t} = -\omega\, b_i, \tag{7}$$

$$[\partial_i E_i]_{\Sigma_t} = e_i\, k_i, \quad [\partial_i B_i]_{\Sigma_t} = b_i\, k_i, \tag{8}$$

$$[\eta_{ijl}\partial_j E_l]_{\Sigma_t} = \eta_{ijl} k_j e_l, \quad [\eta_{ijl}\partial_j B_l]_{\Sigma_t} = \eta_{ijl} k_j b_l, \tag{9}$$

where ω is the wave frequency and k_i is the wave vector. The vectors e_i and b_i describe the polarization modes of the electric and magnetic components of the light rays, respectively. We also use the symbol

$$[f]_{\Sigma_t}(p) = \lim_{\delta\to 0^+}[f(p_+) - f(p_-)], \tag{10}$$

to indicate how the step of an arbitrary function $f(p)$ through the surface Σ_t is evaluated, where $p \in \Sigma_t$ and $p_\pm \in \Sigma_{t\pm\delta}$ are such that $\lim p_\pm = p$ for $\delta \to 0^+$.

The above procedure, when applied to the Maxwell Eqs. (1)–(4), yields [20] a linearly polarized wave $\omega b_i = \eta_{ijl} k_j e_l$ whose electric polarization e_i satisfies the eigenvalue problem

$$Z_{ij} e_j = 0. \tag{11}$$

The components of the Fresnel matrix Z_{ij} are given by [6, 21]

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{ij} \;=\; & \frac{1}{\omega}\left(\frac{\partial \epsilon_{ik}}{\partial B_l}\eta_{lmj} E_k + \frac{\partial \mu^{-1}_{lk}}{\partial E_j}\eta_{iml} B_k\right) k_m \\ & -\frac{1}{\omega^2}\eta_{ilm}\eta_{jqp} A_{mp} k_l k_q + C_{ij}, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where the auxiliary matrixes C_{ij} and A_{ij} are defined as

$$C_{ij} \;=\; \epsilon_{ij} + \frac{\partial \epsilon_{ik}}{\partial E_j} E_k, \tag{13}$$

$$A_{ij} \;=\; \mu^{-1}_{ij} + \frac{\partial \mu^{-1}_{ik}}{\partial B_j} B_k. \tag{14}$$

The existence of non-trivial solutions for the eigenvalue problem given by Eq. (11) is equivalent to the requirement

$$\det[Z_{ij}] = 0. \tag{15}$$

This equation furnishes the dispersion relation associated with the light rays.

III. CONDITIONS FOR OPACITY IN NONMAGNETIC SYSTEMS

Most of the material media we are able to optically operate with are such that they respond linearly to external magnetic fields. Therefore, we are allowed to simplify the model by assuming non-magnetic systems such that $\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij}(E_l)$ and $\mu_{ij}^{-1} = (1/\mu)\delta_{ij}$, where μ is a constant permeability and δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta, which is either 1 for $i = j$ or 0 otherwise. In this case, the Fresnel matrix given by Eq. (12) reduces to

$$Z_{ij} = C_{ij} - \frac{1}{\mu v^2}\Pi_{ij}, \tag{16}$$

where $v = \omega/k$ is the phase velocity. The wave vector can be written as $k_i = k\,\hat{k}_i$, with norm $k = \sqrt{k_i k_i}$, where $\hat{k}_i$ is the unit vetor which points along the direction of the wave vector. Further, we define the projector on the plane orthogonal to the wave vector direction $\hat{k}_i$ as $\Pi_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \hat{k}_i\hat{k}_j$.

Straightforward calculations show that Eq. (15) can be written as a polynomial equation for the phase velocity as

$$av^4 + bv^2 + d = 0, \tag{17}$$

where

$$a \;=\; \det[C_{ij}], \tag{18}$$

$$b \;=\; \frac{1}{\mu}\,\hat{k}_i\,[C_{il}C_{lj} - C_{ll}C_{ij}]\,\hat{k}_j, \tag{19}$$

$$d \;=\; \frac{1}{\mu^2}\,\hat{k}_i\,C_{ij}\,\hat{k}_j. \tag{20}$$

The non-negative roots of Eq. (17) are given by

$$v^{\pm} = \sqrt{-\frac{b}{2a}\left(1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{4ad}{b^2}}\right)}. \tag{21}$$

Two possible cases of opacity inside the material medium are then identified from this equation, in terms of the discriminant $\Delta = b^2 - 4ad$ of Eq. (17): either $\Delta < 0$, or else $0 \leq \Delta/b^2 \leq 1$ with $b/a > 0$. Note that such criteria for opacity is intended to determine algebraic relations for C_{ij}, since $\hat{k}_i$ is an arbitrary unit vector.

The algebraic criteria to verify whether the material under consideration admits a regime of opacity turns out to be useful when we already know the dielectric coefficients of such

medium. Otherwise, we have to solve partial differential equations for the coefficients ϵ_{ij} in order to set up the properties of the medium. This latter approach shall be explicitly adopted in Section IV, in order to determine some specific models exhibiting the opacity phenomenon.

A. Polarization

The non-zero eigenvectors associated to the eigenvalue problem (11), namely the polarization vector e_i, can be found by considering a basis formed by any suitable choice of linearly independent vectors $\{\hat{u}_i^{(A)} : A = 1, 2, 3\}$ with the index A labeling the three different vectors. We choose[1] the three unit vectors $\hat{u}_i^{(1)} = \hat{k}_i$, $\hat{u}_i^{(2)} = \hat{E}_i$, and $\hat{u}_i^{(3)} = \eta_{ijl}\hat{k}_j\hat{E}_l/|\eta_{pqr}\hat{k}_q\hat{E}_r|$, where $\hat{E}_i = E_i/E$ is the unit vector directed along the external electric field E_i, in which $E = |E_i|$ is the magnitude of the electric field, and $|X_i|$ denotes the Euclidean norm of any given vector X_i. The decomposition of e_i in terms of the basis $\{\hat{k}_i,\, \hat{E}_i,\, \eta_{ijl}\hat{k}_j\hat{E}_l/|\eta_{pqr}\hat{k}_q\hat{E}_r|\}$ is

$$e_i = a_A\, \hat{u}_i^{(A)}, \tag{22}$$

where a_A are constants. For the phase speed v of the light ray being given by Eq. (21), the coefficients a_A of the polarization vector e_i are determined as

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \left\{ \left[\hat{E}_s C_{st} \hat{E}_t - \tfrac{1-(\hat{E}_n\hat{k}_n)^2}{\mu v^2} \right] \hat{k}_i - (\hat{k}_s C_{st} \hat{E}_t)\hat{E}_i \right\} \\ &\quad \times C_{ij} \tfrac{\eta_{jlm}\hat{k}_l\hat{E}_m}{|\eta_{pqr}\hat{k}_q\hat{E}_r|}, \\ a_2 &= (\hat{k}_i\hat{E}_s - \hat{k}_s\hat{E}_i) C_{st}\hat{E}_t C_{ij} \tfrac{\eta_{jlm}\hat{k}_l\hat{E}_m}{|\eta_{pqr}\hat{k}_q\hat{E}_r|}, \\ a_3 &= \hat{k}_s C_{st}\hat{E}_i C_{ij}(\hat{k}_t\hat{E}_j + \hat{k}_j\hat{E}_t) + \hat{k}_s C_{st}\hat{k}_t \tfrac{1-(\hat{E}_n\hat{k}_n)^2}{\mu v^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

up to an arbitrary global normalization.

B. Remarks on optical analog models

A simple manipulation of Eq. (17) allows us to cast it as $g^{\mu\nu}k_\mu k_\nu = 0$ from which we can read-out an effective optical geometry [22, 23] describing the dispersion relation associated

[1] Mathematically, however, $\hat{k}_i$ and $\hat{E}_i$ could be parallel to one another, a situation which would prevent them to be both included as basis vectors. Notwithstanding, we can rely on the continuity of Maxwell theory in order to "define" the optical behavior for such degenerate situation of $\hat{k}_i \parallel \hat{E}_i$ as being given by that of the limit of $|\eta_{pqr}\hat{k}_q\hat{E}_r|$ approaching zero without being equal to it.

with the four-dimensional wave vector $k_\mu \doteq (\omega, k_i)$, with Greek indices running from 0 to 3. Here Minkowski background metric, which in Cartesian coordinates takes the form $[\eta_{\mu\nu}] = \mathrm{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$, associate tensors with lower and upper indices. In terms of the quantities defined before, this effective metric can be written as [24]

$$g^{\mu\nu} = -\mu a\, V^\mu V^\nu + \left[C^\alpha{}_\alpha - \frac{1}{\mu(v^\pm)^2}\right] C^{\mu\nu} - C^{\mu\alpha} C_\alpha{}^\nu, \tag{24}$$

where $V^\mu = \delta^\mu{}_0$ and $C_{\mu\nu}$ is defined such that for either μ or ν equal to zero, then $C_{\mu\nu} = 0$; otherwise, it coincides with C_{ij}. It is straightforward to show that for the particular case of vacuum, where the dielectric coefficients are just ϵ_0 and μ_0, the optical metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ reduces to the Minkowski metric $\eta^{\mu\nu}$. One important point in this description is that the integral curves of the wave vector k_μ in the flat Minkowski spacetime correspond to null geodesic curves in the fictitious spacetime whose metric is given by the effective geometry [25, 26].

The usefulness of the effective metric description relies on the fact that the light propagation inside an optical medium described by dielectric coefficients in a flat spactime is mathematically equivalent to the light propagation in a curved spacetime. Generically speaking, a curved spacetime is an exact solution of general relativity for a given source of matter/energy. Therefore, the coefficients of the effective geometry could be compared with the coefficients of the curved spacetime geometry and, as far as only kinematic aspects of such propagation are considered, the same sort of phenomena predicted in gravitational systems could be investigated in the context of optics in material media. Possible applications of this analogy include the tests of bending of light and the construction of cosmological models in terrestrial laboratories. Its theoretical relevance is also linked with the possibility of measurement of tiny quantum phenomena such as Hawking radiation, or even testing quantum gravity predictions, as is discussed in the literature [27].

IV. SIMPLE MODELS FOR SMART GLASSES

We provide here some simple examples in which the material media present opacity for a given range of the electromagnetic field and the incident angle of the light rays. One should notice that the derivation of the results below is valid for any material satisfying the sufficient requirements stated in the previous section—just after Eq. (21)—and not only the smart glasses already know in the literature. Notwithstanding, we shall keep calling those

media as smart or switchable glasses, because we believe that these words appropriately express the idea behind the formalism developed here.

Let us assume a magnetically linear medium whose permittivity is $\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{ij}(E)$ dependent only on the magnitude E of the electric field. Suppose that the external electric field lies on the (x, y)-plane, that is, $[E_i] = (E\cos\phi, E\sin\phi, 0)$, with $\phi \in (0, 2\pi)$ and let the unit wave vector be $[\hat{k}_i] = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$ with $k_x^2 + k_y^2 + k_z^2 = 1$. Suppose that the permittivity tensor is diagonal, $[\epsilon_{ij}] = \mathrm{diag}(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$. The auxiliary matrix $[C_{ij}]$ then reads

$$[C_{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_1'\cos^2\phi & \epsilon_1'\cos\phi\sin\phi & 0 \\ \epsilon_2'\cos\phi\sin\phi & \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2'\sin^2\phi & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \epsilon_3 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{25}$$

where we denote $X' = E(\mathrm{d}X/\mathrm{d}E)$. The coefficients of the polynomial equation (17) for the phase velocity are obtained from

$$\begin{aligned} a =\ & \epsilon_3(\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1\epsilon_2'\sin^2\phi + \epsilon_2\epsilon_1'\cos^2\phi), \\ \mu b =\ & -\tfrac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1' + \epsilon_2')\epsilon_3 k_x k_y \sin(2\phi) \\ & +(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_1'\cos^2\phi - \epsilon_2'\sin^2\phi)\epsilon_3 k_y^2 \\ & +[(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_3)(\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2'\sin^2\phi) + \epsilon_2\epsilon_1'\cos^2\phi]k_z^2 \\ & -\epsilon_1(\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3) - (\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3)\epsilon_1'\cos^2\phi - \epsilon_1\epsilon_2'\sin^2\phi, \\ \mu^2 d =\ & \tfrac{1}{2}(\epsilon_1' + \epsilon_2')k_x k_y \sin(2\phi) + \epsilon_3 k_z^2 \\ & +(\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2'\sin^2\phi - \epsilon_1'\cos^2\phi)k_y^2 \\ & +(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_1'\cos^2\phi)(1 - k_z^2). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The decomposition of the unit wave vector in spherical coordinates is $[\hat{k}_i] = (\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \sin\theta\sin\varphi, \cos\theta)$, where $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ and $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$. Note that the angles ϕ and φ are physically non-equivalent variables.

In what follows, we particularize our investigation to the cases where $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon(1 + f)$, $\epsilon_2 = \epsilon(1 - f)$ and $\epsilon_3 = \epsilon$, where $f = f(E)$ and ϵ is a constant, in order to determine some simple models exhibiting controlled opacity to transparency phase transitions.

A. A simple model for electric-switchable media

Let us choose $f(E)$ such that the phase velocities, given by Eq. (21), exhibits azimuthal symmetry with respect to the wave vector direction. Such requirement is achieved by setting $f' + 2f = 0$, which leads to $f = (E_0/E)^2$, where E_0 is an integration constant. The adopted regime of geometrical optics requires that the intensity of the wave field, hereafter denoted by E_ω, have to be small when compared to the external field E. In order to ensure the validity of this regime, we set $E_0 = E_\omega$, which implies that $f \ll 1$.

For this particular choice of f, the discriminant Δ of Eq. (17) reduces to

$$\Delta = \frac{\epsilon^4}{\tilde{E}^4 \mu^2}\left[h \sin^4\theta - \sin(2\phi)(1+\cos^2\theta)^2\right], \tag{27}$$

where $h = 1 - (2/\tilde{E}^2)\cos(2\phi) + 1/\tilde{E}^4$ is such that $h \geq 0$, and $\tilde{E} = E/E_\omega$. Note that small values of θ imply $\Delta < 0$ whenever $\sin(2\phi) > 0$. For $\phi = n\pi/2$ with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\Delta \geq 0$. Therefore, $\Delta = 0$ and $\sin(2\phi) \neq 0$ leads to

$$\cos^2\theta = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\dfrac{\sin(2\phi)}{h}}}{1 + \sqrt{\dfrac{\sin(2\phi)}{h}}}. \tag{28}$$

From the above analysis, it follows that ϕ have to be in the interval $(0, \pi/2)$ in order that the opacity phenomenon exists. Such opacity is most intense in the middle of the interval: $\bar{\phi} = \pi/4$. In this case, Eq. (28) implies that

$$\cos^2\theta = \frac{1 - \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{E}^4}{1+\tilde{E}^4}}}{1 + \sqrt{\frac{\tilde{E}^4}{1+\tilde{E}^4}}}. \tag{29}$$

The larger $\tilde{E}$, the smaller $\cos^2\theta$ and, therefore, the larger the range of the polar angle θ for which $\Delta < 0$.

The above model is numerically studied in Fig. 1 where $\epsilon\mu(v^\pm)^2$ as function of θ is depicted for some representative value of ϕ. Notice that as ϕ gets larger values compared to $\bar{\phi}$ the window of transparency enlarges, as anticipated. As one can confirm by inspecting the coefficients a, b and d in Eq. (26), the phase velocity is independent of the polar direction φ of the wave vector in this model.



FIG. 1: Color online. Plots of $\epsilon\mu(v^{\pm})^2$ as function of θ for the model given by $f = (E_{\omega}/E)^2$. It was set $E = 100E_{\omega}$.

For the maximum of opacity ($\phi = \bar{\phi}$), the polarization vectors are determined by Eqs. (23) as

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= \frac{[\sqrt{2}a_1\tilde{v}^2+a_2\sin\theta(\cos\varphi+\sin\varphi)]\sqrt{\beta_0}\cot\theta}{\sqrt{2}(\sin\varphi-\cos\varphi)(\tilde{v}^2-1)}, \\ \frac{a_1}{a_2} &= \frac{2-(f+1)\tilde{v}^2+(1+\beta_1\cos^2\theta)f-\beta_1\left(1-\frac{\tan^2\theta\sin\varphi}{\beta_2}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\tilde{v}^2}\right)}{\sqrt{2}\left\{[\beta_3+\beta_2(1-f)]\tilde{v}^2-\beta_3+\frac{\beta_2}{\sin\theta}\right\}}, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\frac{\mu\epsilon b}{a}+\frac{\beta_0\sin^2\theta f^2+2\cos^2\theta f+2(\beta_0-\cos^2\theta)}{\beta_0(f^2+1)}\right]\tilde{v}^2 \\ &+\frac{\mu^2\epsilon^2 d}{a}+\frac{\sin^2\theta[(\cos(2\varphi)-\sin(2\varphi)-1)\cos^2(\theta)f-1+\sin(2\varphi)]}{\beta_0(f^2+1)}=0, \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

where $\tilde{v}^2 = \mu\epsilon(v^{\pm})^2$—with the phase velocities $v^{\pm}$ being given by Eq. (21) restricted to this particular case. We also define some auxiliary parameters: $\beta_0 = 1+\cos^2\theta-\sin^2\theta\sin(2\varphi)$, $\beta_1 = (\sin\varphi+\cos\varphi)/(\sin\varphi-\cos\varphi)$, $\beta_2 = \cos^2\theta/(\sin\varphi-\cos\varphi)$ and $\beta_3 = \sin\theta(f\cos\varphi+\sin\varphi)$. Notice that Eq. (31) involves only the angular coordinates θ, φ of the wave vector, that is, it establishes a relationship between the angles in the form $\theta = \theta(\varphi)$ or vice-versa, since the dimensionless phase velocity $\tilde{v}$ was already determined from Eq. (21).

Finally, we compute the determinant of the effective metric $g \equiv \det[g_{\mu\nu}]$, given by Eq. (24), as

$$\begin{aligned} g = &-\mu\epsilon(1+f^2)\left[\left(2+f^2-\tfrac{1}{\tilde{v}^2}\right)^2+f^2\left(1-\tfrac{1}{\tilde{v}^2}\right)^2\right] \\ &\times\left(2-\tfrac{1}{\tilde{v}^2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

From this, it follows that the condition $g < 0$ (the hyperbolicity condition for the propagation of the light ray) holds only if $\mu\epsilon(v^{\pm})^2 > 1/2$, in agreement with the regime of geometrical optics that we have assumed.

B. Electric-switchable media with thin film polarizers

There are other simple models that one may propose by taking into account a thin film polarizer on the interface between the dielectric and the environment of the material medium, so that one of the wave vector directions tangent to the (x, y)-plane vanishes, for example, $k_y = 0$. In this case, two interesting profiles for $f(E)$ are discussed bellow.

1. Power law models

If we choose $f = (E/E_\omega)^x$ with $E > E_\omega$ and E_ω as the probe field, Eq. (21) gives the following expressions for the phase velocities

$$\mu\epsilon(v^{\pm})^2 = \frac{[x+1-(\frac{x}{2}+1)\tilde{E}^{-x}]\sin^2\theta - 2\tilde{E}^{-2x}}{2(x+1-\tilde{E}^{-2x})} \times \left[1 \pm \sqrt{1+4\frac{\tilde{E}^{-x}(x+1-\tilde{E}^{-2x})[(\frac{x}{2}+1)\sin^2\theta + \tilde{E}^{-x}]}{\{[x+1-(\frac{x}{2}+1)\tilde{E}^{-x}]\sin^2\theta - 2\tilde{E}^{-2x}\}^2}}\right]. \tag{33}$$

For small values of θ, we obtain that the condition $\Delta < 0$ requires $x < -1$, which particularly includes the case $f \sim E^{-2}$ analyzed in Sec. IV A. It should be remarked that the critical field can always be thought as the probe field one, therefore it is expected that power law models have negative exponents in order that $f < 1$. Figure 2 depicts the behavior of the phase velocities, by means of $\epsilon\mu(v^{\pm})^2$, described by Eq. (33) for some representative values of the ratio E/E_ω when the specific model given by $x = -1.05$ is set. Notice that for this case the medium exhibits transparency in a narrow and symmetric angular range of approximately $\pi/16$ radians around $\theta = \pi/2$, otherwise it is opaque. Furthermore the width of the angular range for which transparency occurs does not depend of the magnitude of the electric field. The magnitude E of the field affects only the magnitude $v^{\pm}$ of the phase velocity. This behavior, including the range of speeds and the widths of the transparency windows, is highly dependent on the specific value of the power x which characterizes each possible model.



FIG. 2: Color online. Plots of $\epsilon\mu(v^{\pm})^2$ as function of θ for the power law model described by Eq. (33) with $x = -1.05$. The solutions are set with $E = \xi E_\omega$ for a few illustrative values of ξ. Here $[\hat{k}_i] = (\sin\theta, 0, \cos\theta)$ and we chose the external field such that $[\hat{E}_i] = (1/2)\,(1, \sqrt{3}, 0)$.

2. Exponential models

Material media characterized by $f = \exp(-\alpha E/E_\omega)$, with α a constant, also present opacity. Figure 3 depicts the behavior of the phase velocities for some representative values of the ratio $\alpha E/E_\omega$. Again, we can set E_ω as the probe field, from which the limit of geometric optics requires $E > E_\omega$. Therefore, f can be seen as a small correction to the dielectric permittivity of an isotropic medium. This figure shows that the system exhibits transparency only in a narrow window about $\theta = \pi/2$, otherwise it is opaque. The width of the transparency window for this case is dependent of the magnitude E of the external electric field: a larger electric field opens a larger window of transparency.

V. OPACITY IN MAGNETIC MEDIA

A. Magnetic-switchable media

We may also take advantage of the possibly nonlinear behavior of the dielectric parameters in the magnetic fields. Let us consider a medium whose dielectric coefficients are $\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon\,\delta_{ij}$ and $\mu_{ij}^{-1} = (1/\mu)\mathrm{diag}(1+m, 1-m, 1)$ with $m = m(B)$ depending upon the magnitude of the magnetic field, while ϵ and μ are constant parameters. The dispersion relation stated in



FIG. 3: Color online. Plots of $\epsilon\mu(v^{\pm})^2$ as function of θ in the case of an exponential model with $\alpha = 0.1$. The solutions are set with $E = \xi E_\omega$ for a few illustrative values of ξ. Here we chose $[\hat{E}_i] = (1/\sqrt{2})\,(1, 1, 0)$.

Eq. (15) yields in this situation a biquadratic equation for the phase velocity,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mu(\hat{k}_2^2 A_{11} + \hat{k}_1^2 A_{22}) + \mu^2 \hat{k}_3^2 (A_{12}^2 + A_{11}A_{22}) \\ &- \left[\hat{k}_1^2 + \hat{k}_2^2 + \mu(\hat{k}_2^2 + \hat{k}_3^2)A_{11} + \mu(\hat{k}_1^2 + \hat{k}_3^2)A_{22}\right] \mu\epsilon v^2 \\ &+(\mu\epsilon v^2)^2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where we assumed the magnetic field to point along the direction $[\hat{B}_i] = (\cos\phi, \sin\phi, 0)$.

The same steps presented in Sec. IV A for the electric case now yield $m(B) = (B_{_0}/B)^2$, with B_0 a constant, and we end up with

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mu\epsilon v^2)^2 - \left[\mu A_{11}(1 + \hat{k}_3^2) + \mu A_{33}(1 - \hat{k}_3^2)\right] \mu\epsilon v^2 \\ &\quad +\mu^2(A_{11}^2 + A_{12}^2)\hat{k}_3^2 + \mu^2 A_{11}A_{33}(1 - \hat{k}_3^2) = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where the components A_{ij} are computed from the definition (14). The decomposition in spherical coordinates for the wave vector $[\hat{k}_i] = (\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \sin\theta\sin\varphi, \cos\theta)$ then yields the discriminant Δ of Eq. (35) in the form

$$\Delta = m(B)^2[\sin^2\theta - \sin^2(2\phi)(1 + \cos^2\theta)^2]. \tag{36}$$

We note that the occurrence of opacity (which is achieved from the requirement $\Delta < 0$) is more easily obtained in this case as compared to the electric ones, since it does not

depend on the intensity of the magnetic field but only on its direction. In particular, if ϕ is equal to either 0 or $\pi/2$, then the medium is completely transparent (and birefringence phenomena is expected to occur) for rays with $0 < \theta < \pi$; on the other hand, for $\phi = \pi/4$, the medium is completely opaque. Fig. 4 illustrates the behavior of the phase velocities for some representative values of ϕ. Recall that the window of transparency diminishes as ϕ enlarges.



FIG. 4: Color online. Plots of $\epsilon\mu(v^{\pm})^2$ as function of θ for the model given by $m = (B_\omega/B)^2$. Here set $B = 100B_\omega$.

Since the magnetic field does not perform work upon the electric sources (electric charges and currents), a hypothetical device based on this model would be safer to handle with, as compared with the electrical cases discussed in the previous sections. Moreover, this magnetically switchable media is here introduced as a new phenomenon, which appears to have been unnoticed in the literature (up to our knowledge).

B. Electromagnetic-switchable media

For the sake of completeness, we present also the case concerning smart glasses generated by nonlinearities in both dielectric parameters, with an anisotropy in the electric sector. We assume the permittivity coefficients of the medium as

$$[\epsilon_{ij}] = \epsilon(1 - \lambda E^2)\,\mathrm{diag}(\gamma, 1, 1), \tag{37}$$

and the permeability coefficients

$$\mu_{ij}^{-1} = (1/\mu)(1 - \sigma B^2)\delta_{ij}, \tag{38}$$

both with linear dependence upon the squared magnitude of the fields, where $\epsilon, \mu, \lambda, \sigma, \gamma$ are positive constant parameters. Notice that the problem admits immediate generalization for metamaterials with ϵ and μ taking negative values. Most crystalline optical materials have dielectric coefficients presenting a similar mathematical form, but satisfying the conditions $\lambda E^2 \ll 1$ and $\sigma B^2 \ll 1$. This is not the regime being considered in the present work. The effects we analyse here require the acceptance of Eqs. (37) and (38) as exact functions of the fields.

The expression in Eq. (12) is greatly simplified by imposing the magnitudes of the electric and magnetic fields to be chosen such that $\lambda E^2 = 1/3 = \sigma B^2$. For their angular orientations, we choose $[E_i/E] = (\sin\chi,\, 0,\, \cos\chi)$ and $[B_i/B] = (0,\, 0,\, 1)$. The dispersion relation can then be given as a linear equation for v^2,

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\epsilon\, v^2 = \big[&4\sin^2\theta\left(\gamma\cos^2\chi\cos^2\varphi + \sin^2\varphi\right) + 4\cos^2\theta\sin^2\chi \\ &-(1+\gamma)\sin(2\theta)\sin(2\chi)\,\cos\varphi\big]\,\big[4\gamma\cos^2\chi\tan^2\theta \\ &+ 4\sin^2\chi - 2(1+\gamma)\tan\theta\,\sin(2\chi)\,\cos\varphi\big]^{-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

A remarkable feature of this solution is the absence of birefringence. In fact, the chosen values for the electric and magnetic fields are such that the medium satisfies a sort of non-birefringence condition [24].

In order to study a specific case, let us set $\chi = \pi/6$. In this case we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mu\epsilon\, v^2 \;=\; &\cos^2\theta\,\big[\cos^2\theta + \sin^2\theta(4\sin^2\varphi + 3\gamma\,\cos^2\varphi) \\ &-\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(1+\gamma)\sin(2\theta)\,\cos\,\varphi\big]\,\big[\cos^2\theta \\ &+3\gamma\,\sin^2\theta - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}(1+\gamma)\sin(2\theta)\cos\varphi\big]^{-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

which shows that the system presents opacity for small values of the angle ϕ for a range of the angle θ, if $\gamma > 4/3$. This solution is depicted for $\gamma = 15$ in Fig. 5 for some illustrative values of φ.

The relevance of the two cases with the magnetic field lies in the fact that the propagation (with reference to the phase velocity only) can be switched on and off with no change whatsoever in the magnitude of the fields, but varying only their orientation in space. Therefore, the physical device being modeled in these cases can be adjusted to be either transparent or opaque by simply implementing spatial rotations on the system.



FIG. 5: Color online. Plots of $\mu\epsilon\, v^2$ as a function of θ from Eq. (40) with $\gamma = 15$, for φ slightly larger than $8\pi/25$. For $\varphi < 12\pi/25$ there exists a window of opacity near $\theta = \pi/8$, as depicted in the inset frame for $n = 1000, 1500, 1588$.

VI. FINAL REMARKS

We studied some models for nonlinear electromagnetic material media which, in the regime of geometrical optics, do present phase transitions between optical transparency and opacity, and vice-versa. These models were chosen to be mathematically simple, and were mostly motivated by the present day technological capability of designing and producing metamaterials whose properties can be set to meet pre-stablished requirements.

We do not present a complete analysis of this phenomenon in nonlinear media. Instead, we just present the method by which such phenomenon can be investigated. In this way, the theoretical description we present here could be a valuable tool in searching for new optical devices and effects. Depending on the external applied electric and magnetic fields, which couple to the dielectric properties of the medium by means its optical susceptibilities, opacity and transparency may occur in each studied model for certain directions of propagation of a probe electromagnetic wave. The models can be adapted to different situations, in order to yield the effect around specific directions of the wave propagation.

Another possible application of the present method would be the production of models presenting low values for light velocity around certain directions of propagation. A simple example is the model for electromagnetic switchable media investigated in Sec. V B, with a specific example depicted in Fig 5. Propagation with directions near $\pi/2$ present quite low

speed. Slow light phenomena is an interesting tool to investigate some aspects of classical and quantum optics, including analog models of general relativity. In fact, in the context of analog models, slow light systems have been used to simulate black holes in several optical systems. The main idea is to measure the occurrence of the tiny Hawking radiation [28], long ago predicted to occur in astrophysical black holes, but its small magnitude renders its direct observation unlikely to occur in the realm of astrophysics. Thus, measuring this curious effect in terrestrial laboratories [29] by means of analog models seems to be an important starting point to test semiclassical gravity predictions and, perhaps even quantum gravity phenomena.

Finally it should be noticed that in all models here examined, but the one studied in Sec. V B, birefringence phenomena occur, i.e., the solutions exhibit two distinct phase velocities along the same direction of the wave vector. The distinct solutions are associated with different polarization vectors. In all cases where the effect occurs its magnitude is larger at the center of the transparency windows and falls to zero at the borders.

APPENDIX: the canonical forms of the $[C_{ij}]$ matrix

The branch of material media we are interested in here corresponds to the ones which admit only real elements for the dielectric coefficients. Consequently, the auxiliary matrices $[C_{ij}]$ and $[A_{ij}]$ possess only real entries, does yielding the existence of at least one real eigenvalue, for each of them. However, their Jordan canonical form can be non-diagonal if the other eigenvalues are complex. Recall that it is enough to study the eigenvalues of $[C_{ij}]$ in order to know the properties of the generalized Fresnel matrix in the case of electric-switchable media with an opacity-to-transparency transition. For simplicity, we shall analyze here $[C_{ij}]$ solely. The decomposition in terms of the eigenvalues can also be applied to the magnetic-switchable media.

Let us denote $\lambda_A \in \mathbb{C}$ the eigenvalues of the dimensionless version of $[C_{ij}]$ and a basis $\{\hat{v}_j^{(A)}\}$ of real vectors, where A labels the vectors and j labels the components, with $A, j = 1, 2, 3$. If we restrict our analysis to the real canonical forms of $[C_{ij}]$, we have to consider two cases in separate: either (a) $\lambda_{1,2} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda_3 \in \mathbb{R}$, or (b) $\lambda_A \in \mathbb{R}$.

In case (a): $\lambda_1 = x + yi$ with $y > 0$ and the canonical form is

$$[C_{ij}]\big|_a = \begin{pmatrix} x & -y & 0 \\ y & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

and for case (b): we obtain

$$[C_{ij}]\big|_b = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ \delta_1 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_2 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{42}$$

where $\delta_{1,2} = 0, 1$ depending on the eigenvalues degeneracy and the number of associated eigenvectors.

We then decompose the unit wave vector $\hat{k}_i$ in terms of the basis $\{\hat{v}_j^{(A)}\}$ as

$$\hat{k}_i = k_A \hat{v}_i^{(A)}, \tag{43}$$

where the coefficients $k_A \in \mathbb{R}$ lie upon the unit sphere $(k_1)^2 + (k_2)^2 + (k_3)^2 = 1$. Therefore, the coefficients of the polynomial equation for the phase velocity (18)-(20) are

$$\begin{cases} a = (x^2 + y^2)\lambda_3, \\ b = -\frac{1}{\mu}\left[(x^2 + y^2)(1 - k_3^2) - 2y^2 k_2^2 + x\lambda_3(1 + k_3^2)\right], \\ d = \frac{1}{\mu^2}\left[x(1 - k_3^2) + \lambda_3 k_3^2\right], \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

for case (a), and

$$\begin{cases} a = \lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3, \\ b = -\frac{1}{\mu}[\lambda_1\lambda_2(k_1^2 + k_2^2) + \lambda_1\lambda_3(k_1^2 + k_3^2) \\ +\lambda_2\lambda_3(k_2^2 + k_3^2) + \lambda_1\delta_2\, k_2k_3 + \lambda_3\delta_1\, k_1k_2 - \delta_1\delta_2\, k_1k_3], \\ d = \frac{1}{\mu^2}(k_1^2\lambda_1 + k_2^2\lambda_2 + k_3^2\lambda_3 + \delta_1 k_1k_2 + \delta_2 k_2k_3), \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

for case (b).

Finally, we display the discriminants of each case. For case (a):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\big|_a \;=\; & (1 - k_3^2)^2 x^4 - 2(1 - k_3^2)^2\lambda_3 x^3 + \big[(1 - k_3^2)\lambda_3^2 \\ & +2(1 - 2k_2^2 - k_3^2)y^2\big](1 - k_3^2)x^2 + 2\big[2(k_3^2 - 1) \\ & +(1 - 2k_2^2 - k_3^2)(1 + k_3^2)\big]\lambda_3 y^2 x - 4k_3^2\lambda_3^2 y^2 \\ & +(1 - 2k_2^2 - k_3^2)^2 y^4. \end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

For case (b), with $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\big|_{b_1} \;=\; & \big[\lambda_2(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)k_3^2+\lambda_1(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)\big]^2 \\ & +\big[2\lambda_2(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)k_3^2+(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\lambda_3 k_2^2 \\ & -2\lambda_1(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\big]\lambda_3(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)k_2^2. \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

The most degenerate situation for the case (b) is $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ with only one eigenvector. Then, the discriminant is

$$\Delta\big|_{b_2} \;=\; [k_2(k_1+k_3)\lambda_3 - k_1k_3]^2 - 4k_1k_3\lambda_3^2. \tag{48}$$

Therefore, the negativity of each of these quantities guarantees the opacity of the medium.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Brazilian research agencies CAPES (grant 1614969/PNPD) and CNPq (grant 302248/2015-3).

---

[1] J. B. Pendry, D. Schurig, D. R. Smith, Controlling electromagnetic fields, Science **312**, 1780 (2006).

[2] S. E. Harris, J. E. Field, A. Imamoglu, Nonlinear optical processes using electromagnetically induced transparency, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1107 (1990).

[3] V. G. Veselago, Electrodynamics of substances with simultaneously negative values of ϵ and μ, Sov. Phys. Usp. **10**, 509 (1968).

[4] D. R. Smith, W. J. Padilla, D. C. Vier, S. C. Nemat-Nasser, and S. Schultz, Composite medium with simultaneously negative permeability and permittivity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4184 (2000).

[5] D. Jalas, A. Petrov, M. Eich, W. Freude, S. Fan, Z. Yu, R. Baets, M. Popovic', A. Melloni, J. D. Joannopoulos, M. Vanwolleghem, C. R. Doerr, and H. Renner, What is—and what is not—an optical isolator, Nat. Photon. **7**, 579 (2013).

[6] V. A. De Lorenci and J. P. Pereira, One-way propagation of light in Born-Infeld-like metamaterials, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 043822 (2014).

[7] J. P. Pereira, I. I. Smolyaninov, and V. N. Smolyaninova, Magnetic liquids under high electric fields as broadband optical diodes, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 043852 (2016).

[8] K. L. Tsakmakidis, A. D. Boardman, and O. Hess, 'Trapped rainbow' storage of light in metamaterials, Nature (London) **450**, 397 (2007).

[9] V. N. Smolyaninova, I. I. Smolyaninov, A. V. Kildishev, and V. M. Shalaev, Experimental observation of the trapped rainbow, Appl. Phys. Lett. **96** 211121 (2010).

[10] K. -J. Boller, A. Imamoglu, and S. E. Harris, Observation of electromagnetically induced transparency, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2593 (1991).

[11] Y. Hu, W. Liu, Y. Sun, X. Shi, J. Jiang, Y. Yang, S. Zhu, J. Evers and H. Chen, Electromagnetically-induced-transparency–like phenomenon with resonant meta-atoms in a cavity, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 053824 (2015).

[12] S. Zhang, D. A. Genov, Y. Wang, M. Liu, and X. Zhang, Plasmon-induced transparency in metamaterials, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 047401 (2008).

[13] S. D. Jenkins and J. Ruostekoski, Metamaterial Transparency induced by cooperative electromagnetic interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 147401 (2013).

[14] R. Baetens, B. P. Jelle and A. Gustavsen, Properties, requirements and possibilities of smart windows for dynamic daylight and solar energy control in buildings: A state-of-the-art review, Solar Energy Materials & Solar Cells **94**, 87 (2010).

[15] X. He, X. Yang, S. Li, S. Shi, F. Wu, J. Jiang, Electrically active manipulation of electromagnetic induced transparency in hybrid terahertz metamaterial, Opt. Mat. Express **6**, 3075 (2016).

[16] K. A. Yasir and W.-M. Liu, Controlled Electromagnetically Induced Transparency and Fano Resonances in Hybrid BEC-Optomechanics, Scientific Reports **6**, 22651 (2016).

[17] M. Fleischhauer, A. Imamoglu, J. P. Marangos, Electromagnetically induced transparency: Optics in coherent media, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 633 (2005).

[18] J. Hadamard, *Leçons sur la propagation des ondes et les équations de hydrodynamique* (Hermann, Paris, 1903); V. D. Zakharov, Gravitational waves in Einstein's theory (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1973).

[19] D. D. Pereira and R. Klippert, Local nonlinear electrodynamics, Phys. Lett. A **374**, 4175 (2010).

[20] V. A. De Lorenci and R. Klippert, Electromagnetic light rays in local dielectrics, Phys. Lett. A **357**, 61 (2006).

[21] V. A. De Lorenci and G. P. Goulart, Magnetoelectric birefringence revisited, Phys. Rev. D

78, 045015 (2008).

[22] M. Novello and E. Bittencourt, Gordon metric revisited, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 124024 (2012).

[23] E. Bittencourt, J. P. Pereira, I. I. Smolyaninov, V. N. Smolyaninova, The flexibility of optical metrics, Class. Quantum Grav. **33**, 165008 (2016).

[24] V. A. De Lorenci, R. Klippert and D. H. Teodoro, Birefringence in nonlinear anisotropic dielectric media, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 124035 (2004).

[25] V. A. De Lorenci and R. Klippert, Analogue gravity from electrodynamics in nonlinear media, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 064027 (2002);

[26] V. A. De Lorenci, Effective geometry for light traveling in material media, Phys. Rev. E **65**, 026612 (2002).

[27] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati, M. Visser, Analogue Gravity, Living Rev. Relativity **8**, 12 (2005).

[28] S. W. Hawking, Black hole explosions, Nature **248**, 30 (1974).

[29] J. Steinhauer, Observation of quantum Hawking radiation and its entanglement in an analogue black hole, Nature Physics **12**, 959 (2016).